MUNICIPAL HOME RULE PILOT PROGRAM

City of Wheeling

2017 PROGRESS REPORT West Virginia State Code §8-1-5a (m) provides:

"Commencing December 1, 2015, and each year thereafter, each participating municipality shall give a progress report to the Municipal Home Rule Board and commencing January 1, 2016, and each year thereafter, the Municipal Home Rule Board shall give a summary report of all the participating municipalities to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance."

The Municipal Home Rule Board has developed this standard format for Pilot Program participating municipalities to prepare and submit their respective Annual Progress Reports. The intent of this standard format is to gather and compile information in a consistent, easily understood, and efficient manner that will be used to develop a concise and practical summary report to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance.

Annual Progress Reports must be submitted electronically as an individual file in PDF format no later than the close of business on December 1, 2016, by emailing Debbie Browning at <u>debbie.a.browning@wv.gov</u>, West Virginia Development Office, West Virginia Home Rule Pilot Program, State Capitol Complex, Building 6, Room 553, Charleston, West Virginia 25305-0311, 304-558-2234.

A. General Information				
Name of Municipality: City of Wheeling				
Certifying Official: Robert Herron Title: City Manager				
Contact Person: Robert Herron	Title: City Manager			
Address: 1500 Chapline Street				
City, State, Zip: Wheeling, WV 26003				
Telephone Number: 304-234-3617	Fax Number: 304-234-3605			
E-Mail Address: citymanager@wheelingwv.gov				
2010 Census Population: 28,407				
B. Municipal Classification				
🗖 Class I 🛛 🗷 Class II 🔹 Class III	Class IV			
C. Pilot Program Entry Phase				
E Phase I (2007 Legislation) D Phase II (200	14 Legislation)			
D. Attest				
I hereby confirm that I am the authorized official for this municipality and certify that the information submitted herein and attached hereto is true and accurate and that this report addresses each and every initiative included in the original Home Rule Pilot Program Plan Application for this municipality and any subsequent amendments, it applicable. Robert Herron				
Type Name of Certifying Official Signature	of Certifying Official Date			

Initiative: Planning and Zon	ning Code – Inclusion of	General Home Rule Aut	hority
Category of Issues Addressed	I (check all that apply)		
Organization	Administration	Personnel	🗷 Other
Was this non-tax initiative a	part of your original plan	application 🗵 or	a plan amendment 🗆?
Has the ordinance(s) needed	to implement this initiat	ive been enacted?	Yes 🗆 No
If yes, when was the ordinan	ce enacted? November,	2008	
If no, please describe challer	gesfaced in enacting the	related ordinance(s)	
N/A			
SUCCESSES – In the space through the implementation			
This Ordinance was simple modifications attributable to City of Wheeling's codified la	Home Rule Authority in		
LESSONS LEARNED – In the s during implementation of th			
N/A			

Initiative: Planning and Zoning Code to include various provisions Conditional Use Permit	in the Use Regulations for a		
Category of Issues Addressed (check all that apply)			
Organization Administration Personn	el 🗵 Other		
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗵	or a plan amendment []?		
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?	🗵 Yes 🛛 No		
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? May, 2009			
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance	e(s)		
N/A			
SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative h through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to tra			
The Conditional Use Ordinance under this proposal allows the City to issue Conditional Use Permits, which will "allow a use to operate in areas not zoned for that specific use." In the ordinance, the City defines "Conditional Use" as such: "A use which because of special requirements or characteristics may be permitted on a particular property only after review by the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA) and upon issuance of a conditional use permit, and subject to the limitations and conditions specified in the zoning ordinance. (November, 2009)			
The City has adopted five ordinances that modify state zoning regulations, all c (November, 2009)	of which relate to conditional use.		
The City receives requests to use non-residential buildings, most of which are/ residential districts that probably would not meet zoning map amendment potentially become dilapidated or decrease in market value. A minimum of been issued since the proposal has been approved and utilized.	criteria, remain unoccupied and		
LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other			
N/A			

Initiative: Municipal Service Lien – Collection of Unpaid Fees
Category of Issues Addressed (check all that apply)
□ Organization □ Administration □ Personnel ☑ Other
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗵 or a plan amendment 🗆 ?
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? May, 2009
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s)
N/A
SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.
It is hard to ascertain the number of liens and amount collected as there are several fee categories. Service fees, such as Fire Service liens, are documented as to liens placed and fees collected due to payment. Several dozen liens for City personnel cutting lawns, boarding vacant buildings, failure to register for Vacant Building Registration Program, etc., and demolitions have been filed for such things as fire service fees and water/utility collections, however this collection practice has been utilized by the City for fire service fees for many years prior to Home Rule but the City's enforcement has been strengthened via implementation of Home Rule Authority.
The delinquency rate for monies owed the City in general has diminished and payments are more often complied with since enactment of Home Rule. The City believes there is a deterrent effect resulting in increased compliance. Prior to implementation, the State Auditor's Office was contacted by the Legal Department primarily due to the City's proposal that a lien would attach to the property and have a level of priority in collection. The State Auditor's Office advised of the proposal by copy of documentation and a representative from the State Tax Auditor's Office attended the City of Wheeling's Home Rule Application Public Hearing in Wheeling and stated upon record of their review of such proposal/ordinance and that there was no objection from those present representing the State. The City has received no complaints or concerns from the State. There have been no sales initiated by the City to date as there is a lengthy period prior to such sale. However such process of forced sale to pay the lien amount will probably materialize in 2016. Collections have been very routine and smooth as to process of collection in the Municipal Court of the City, the Ohio County Magistrate Court primarily, or the Ohio County Circuit Court for matters over \$5,000. Annual collection amounts vary.
LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

Initiative: Vacant Structure Registration Program
Category of Issues Addressed (check all that apply)
□ Organization □ Administration □ Personnel ☑ Other
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application E or a plan amendment D ?
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? July, 2009
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s)
N/A
SUCCESSES In the space below places provide a brief permeting bigblighting successor realized
SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.
The City of Wheeling has registered structures and received payment from property owners since inception of the Program pursuant to the Ordinance. An average of over 200 structures are on the Registration List annually with an average of 75 currently under investigation but not yet registered. Annually an average of 15 to 20 are on a private demo list, 20 or less annually are actively being rehabbed for occupancy and have the potential of being removed, an average of 50 properties annually are not occupied and have the potential to be removed. A total in excess of \$51,500 has been paid to date this 2017 calendar year. The numbers provide an increase in all categories from registered structures, collections, etc.
The City believes the registration program is deterring owners from abandoning their property. There have been owners of such structures who have asked to have the City accept such dilapidated and uninhabitable structure. The structures capable of re-use, along with vacant parcels, are then typically available for re-development by either the City or a private entity via a public request for proposal process. The program is successful in Wheeling.
LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

N/A

Initiative: Allowing the Salaria and Sa	ale of Alcoholic Beverage	s by Class "A" ABCA Lio	censures beginning at	
Category of Issues Addressed	(check all that apply)			
Organization	□ Administration	Personnel	🗷 Other	
Was this non-tax initiative a	part of your original plan ap	oplication 🖬 or a	plan amendment 🗷?	
Has the ordinance(s) needed	to implement this initiative	e been enacted? 🗵 🛚	res 🛛 No	
If yes, when was the ordinan	ce enacted? October 18, 2	016		
If no, please describe challen	ges faced in enacting the re	elated ordinance(s)		
N/A				
 SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance. The owners of restaurants and hotels in the City indicated that there was a market for brunch services on Sundays and that current restrictions on the hours of Sunday alcohol service imposed by State law stifled Sunday morning brunch attendance. The Wheeling Convention and Visitor's Bureau and its Board of Directors, which is composed of civic leaders and people involved in the City's 				
hospitality and tourism indus alcohol service in Wheeling t on Sunday to enhance the Ci experiences of visitors to Wh	hereby enabling restaurant ty's image in the eyes of bu	ts and hotels in the City i	to be fully operational	
The Sunday sales in the City positive feedback from the e			ty has received very	

LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

N/A

Initiative: Municipal Bus	siness Licensing – Category Reduction	
Was this tax initiative a p	art of your original plan application 🗆 🛛 or 🛛 a plan am	endment 🗷
Has the ordinance(s) nee	ded to implement this initiative been enacted? 📧 Yes 🛛 [🗆 No
If yes, when was the ordi	nance enacted? Please see below. June, 2009	
	Illenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s)	
N/A		
revenue categories reali	e below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net	
revenue categories reali	zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net	
revenue categories reali and, any metrics used to The Ordinance to stre	zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net	
revenue categories reali and, any metrics used to The Ordinance to stre classifications before Home Rule to	zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net track performance. eamline the City business license categories from 77 7 classifications after Home has been revenue neutral	revenue gair
revenue categories reali and, any metrics used to The Ordinance to stre classifications before Home Rule to proposed and intended The majority of busin	zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net track performance. eamline the City business license categories from 77 7 classifications after Home has been revenue neutral	revenue gair
revenue categories reali and, any metrics used to The Ordinance to stre- classifications before Home Rule to proposed and intended The majority of busin License Fee \$ 15.00	<pre>zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net track performance. eamline the City business license categories from 77 7 classifications after Home has been revenue neutral d. ness licenses in the City are a flat fee of \$15.00. I. General Licensing Category General Business License</pre>	revenue gair 1 as See below:
revenue categories reali and, any metrics used to The Ordinance to stre classifications before Home Rule to proposed and intended The majority of busin	<pre>zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net track performance. eamline the City business license categories from 77 7 classifications after Home has been revenue neutral d. ness licenses in the City are a flat fee of \$15.00. I. General Licensing Category General Business License Insurance Companies, which by state law are ex</pre>	revenue gair l as See below: :empt from
revenue categories reali and, any metrics used to The Ordinance to stre classifications before Home Rule to proposed and intended The majority of busin License Fee \$ 15.00	<pre>zed; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net track performance. eamline the City business license categories from 77 7 classifications after Home has been revenue neutral d. ness licenses in the City are a flat fee of \$15.00. I. General Licensing Category General Business License</pre>	revenue gair l as See below: :empt from

SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

The City Legal Department did contact the State Tax Department and legal counsel for such state entity prior to submitting this proposal and the City Finance Department tracked the potential financial impact. Many positive comments continue to be given to the Mayor, City Manager, Wheeling Chamber of Commerce, etc. It is difficult to document the many oral discussions, compliments, etc. This is a very user and business friendly licensing model and virtually no negative comments have arisen.

LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

Was this tax initia	tive a part	of your original plan application \Box	or	a plan	amendment 🗷 ?
Has the ordinance	e(s) needeo	to implement this initiative been enac	ted?	🗵 Yes	🗆 No
If yes, when was t	he ordina	nce enacted? June, 2009			
If no, please desc	ribe challe	nges faced in enacting the related ordir	nance(s)		
N/A					
	es realized	elow, please provide a brief narrative ; revenue amounts and revenue cate ck performance.			
License Fee		ABCA Related Licensing Category			
\$ 250.00		Beer/Wine/Liquor Retailer (ABCA Reta			
\$ 500.00		Beer/Wine/Liquor Wholesaler (ABCA)		•	
\$ 600.00		Private Clubs, Fraternal Organizations,		-	, Other
¢1 350 00		(ABCA Private Clubs) less than 1,			
\$1,250.00		Private Clubs, Fraternal Organizations (ABCA Private Clubs) more than 1			l, Uther
License Fee	Ш.	Transient Category			
\$ 500.00		Itinerant Vendors			
	c. realized formance.	elow, please provide a brief narrative h through the implementation of this			
		space below, please provide a brief na his revenue initiative that would benefi			-

Initiative: Imposition of Consumer Sales, Service and Use Tax
Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application D or a plan amendment E ?
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? Please see below. 2013 and 2014 (Please see below)
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s)
N/A
REVENUES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any metrics used to track performance.
Amend plan to impose a consumer sales, service and use tax in the amount of 0.5 percent enacted: June 18, 2013
Amend ordinance (the City's sales, service and use tax) to increase the tax rate to one percent, and to dedicate the revenue from the additional one- half percent to assist in funding its underfunded police and fire pension plan liabilities. Enacted December 1, 2014; June 18, 2013 and December 16, 2014.
See below for narrative.
SUCCESSES - In the space below, please provide a brief parrative highlighting projects, improvements

SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

As a result of the implementation of the sales tax, the City lowered B&O Taxes, began enhancing its Budget Stabilization Fund, switched the Police and Fire Pension Funds from the Alternative to the Optional Funding Method and it closed both pension funds. Also the City created an infrastructure fund for paving equipment, storm sewers, road slips, etc. and is investing \$8,350,000 in City owned WesBanco Arena, including an increased storage area, new locker rooms and replacement of 27 year old dasher boards, glass and protective netting for professional and youth hockey in 2017.

LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

Initially the City was only going to lower B&O Taxes on Retail and Manufacturing, however some of the largest B&O retail business' customers would not have been subject to sales tax (ex: grocery stores, gas station, pharmaceuticals), so as a result of a detailed analysis, it became clear to lessen the reduction on Retail and spread a reduction across more than just two B&O Classifications.