MUNICIPAL HOME RULE PILOT PROGRAM

City of Wheeling

2019 PROGRESS REPORT West Virginia State Code §8-1-5a (m) provides:

"Commencing December 1, 2015, and each year thereafter, each participating municipality shall give a progress report to the Municipal Home Rule Board and commencing January 1, 2016, and each year thereafter, the Municipal Home Rule Board shall give a summary report of all the participating municipalities to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance."

The Municipal Home Rule Board has developed this standard format for Pilot Program participating municipalities to prepare and submit their respective Annual Progress Reports. The intent of this standard format is to gather and compile information in a consistent, easily understood, and efficient manner that will be used to develop a concise and practical summary report to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance.

Annual Progress Reports must be submitted electronically as an individual file in PDF format no later than the close of business on December 1, 2016, by emailing Debbie Browning at <u>debbie.a.browning@wv.gov</u>, West Virginia Development Office, West Virginia Home Rule Pilot Program, State Capitol Complex, Building 6, Room 553, Charleston, West Virginia 25305-0311, 304-558-2234.

| A. General Information | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Name of Municipality: City of Wheeling | | | | |
| Certifying Official: Robert Herron | | Title: City Manage | er | |
| Contact Person: Robert Herron | | Title: City Manage | er | |
| Address: 1500 Chapline Street | | | | |
| City, State, Zip: Wheeling, WV 26003 | | | | |
| Telephone Number: 304-234-3617 | | Fax Number: 304 | -234-3605 | |
| E-Mail Address: citymanager@wheelingv | wv.gov | | | |
| 2010 Census Population: 28,407 | | | | |
| B. Municipal Classification | | | | |
| 🗆 Class I 🛛 🗵 Class II 🛛 | Class III | Class | IV | |
| C. Pilot Program Entry Phase | | | | |
| 🗷 Phase I (2007 Legislation) 🛛 Pl | nase II (201 | 4 Legislation) | Phase III | (2015 Legislation) |
| D. Attest | | | | |
| I hereby confirm that I am the authorize submitted herein and attached hereto i every initiative included in the original H and any subsequent amendments, if app Robert Herron | s true and Iome Rule f | accurate and that | this report a | addresses each and |
| Type Name of Certifying Official | Signature | of Certifying Official | | Date |

| | and Zoning Code – Inclusion of Ge | neral Home Rule | Authority | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| | dressed (check all that apply) | — - | | |
| Organization | □ Administration | Personne | · I | S Other |
| Was this non-tax initia | itive a part of your original plan ap | plication 🗷 o | or a plan | amendment 🗆? |
| Has the ordinance(s) n | needed to implement this initiative | been enacted? | 🗷 Yes | D No |
| If yes, when was the o | rdinance enacted? November, 20 | 08 | | |
| If no, please describe o N/A | challenges faced in enacting the re | elated ordinance(| s) | |
| SUCCESSES — In the s | space below, please provide a b | wief normative hi | ghlighting s | successes realize |
| | ntation of this initiative and any m | etrics used to trad | ck performa | |
| This Ordinance was | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad | ck performa provide 1 | the authority fo |
| This Ordinance was modifications attribut City of Wheeling's cod | ntation of this initiative and any m simply a necessary procedural able to Home Rule Authority in la | etrics used to trad mechanism to and use amendme e a brief narrative | ck performa provide t ents and/o | the authority for r proposals to th ng lessons learne |

| Initiative: Planning a Conditional Use Permit | nd Zoning Code to include v | arious provisions in the | Use Regulations for a |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Category of Issues Addre | essed (check all that apply) | | |
| Organization | □ Administration | Personnel | 🗷 Other |
| Was this non-tax initiati | ve a part of your original plan a | application 🗷 or a | a plan amendment 🗆? |
| Has the ordinance(s) ne | eded to implement this initiati | ve been enacted? | Yes 🛛 No |
| If yes, when was the ord | inance enacted? May, 2009 | | |
| If no, please describe ch | allenges faced in enacting the | related ordinance(s) | |
| N/A | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

The Conditional Use Ordinance under this proposal allows the City to issue Conditional Use Permits, which will "allow a use to operate in areas not zoned for that specific use." In the ordinance, the City defines "Conditional Use" as such: "A use which because of special requirements or characteristics may be permitted on a particular property only after review by the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA) and upon issuance of a conditional use permit, and subject to the limitations and conditions specified in the zoning ordinance. (November, 2009)

The City has adopted five ordinances that modify state zoning regulations, all of which relate to conditional use. (November, 2009)

The City receives requests to use non-residential buildings, most of which are/were unoccupied or not in use, in residential districts that probably would not meet zoning map amendment criteria, remain unoccupied and potentially become dilapidated or decrease in market value. A minimum of 15 conditional use permits have been issued since the proposal has been approved and utilized.

LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

N/A

| Initiative: Municipal Service Lien – Collection of Unpaid Fees |
|---|
| Category of Issues Addressed (check all that apply) |
| □ Organization □ Administration □ Personnel ☑ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗵 or a plan amendment 🗖? |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? May, 2009 |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) |
| N/A |
| |
| |
| SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance. |
| It is hard to ascertain the number of liens and amount collected as there are several fee |
| categories. Service fees, such as Fire Service liens, are documented as to liens placed and fees collected due to payment. Several dozen liens for City personnel cutting lawns, boarding |
| vacant buildings, failure to register for Vacant Building Registration Program, etc., and demolitions have been filed for such things as fire service fees and water/utility |
| collections, however this collection practice has been utilized by the City for fire service fees for many years prior to Home Rule but the City's enforcement has been strengthened via |
| implementation of Home Rule Authority. |
| The delinquency rate for monies owed the City in general has diminished and payments are more often complied with since enactment of Home Rule. The City believes there is a deterrent |
| effect resulting in increased compliance. Prior to implementation, the State Auditor's Office was contacted by the Legal Department primarily due to the City's proposal that a lien would |
| attach to the property and have a level of priority in collection. The State Auditor's Office advised of the proposal by copy of documentation and a representative from the State Tax |
| Auditor's Office attended the City of Wheeling's Home Rule Application Public Hearing in Wheeling and stated upon record of their review of such proposal/ordinance and that there was |
| no objection from those present representing the State. The City has received no complaints |
| or concerns from the State. There have been no sales initiated by the City to date as there is a lengthy period prior to such sale. However such process of forced sale to pay the lien |
| amount will probably materialize in 2016. Collections have been very routine and smooth as to process of collection in the Municipal Court of the City, the Ohio County Magistrate Court |
| primarily, or the Ohio County Circuit Court for matters over \$10,000. Annual collection amounts vary. |
| |
| |
| LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. |
| N/A |
| |
| |

| Initiative: Vacant Structur | e Registration Program | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Category of Issues Addresse | d (check all that apply) | | | |
| Organization | □ Administration | Personnel | 🗷 Other | |
| Was this non-tax initiative a | part of your original plan a | pplication 🗷 or | a plan amendme | ent 🗆 ? |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed | to implement this initiativ | ve been enacted? | 🗷 Yes 🗆 No | |
| If yes, when was the ordinar | nce enacted? July, 2009 | | | |
| If no, please describe challe | nges faced in enacting the r | related ordinance(s) | | |
| N/A | | | | |
| | | | | |
| SUCCESSES – In the space through the implementation | | - | | realized |
| The City of Wheeling property owners since <u>An average of over 25</u> with an <u>average of 75</u> Annually an <u>average of</u> <u>annually</u> are actively of being removed, <u>an</u> have the potential to paid to date this 201 all categories from r | inception of the Pr o structures are on currently under inv of 25 to 30 are sched being rehabbed for average of 35 proper be removed. A tota 9 calendar year. Th | ogram pursuant of the Registration restigation but n luled to be demo- occupancy and ha ties annually as al in excess of s me numbers provide | to the Ordinand n List annually not yet registe lished, 30 or 1 ave the potent: re not occupied \$65,350 has been de an increase | ce. y <u>ered</u> . <u>less</u> ial d and en |
| | perty. There have be ne City accept such d actures capable of re | en owners of suc lilapidated and u e-use, along wit | ch structures w uninhabitable h vacant parce | ls, |
| are then typically av private entity via a successful in Wheelin | public request for p | | | |
| LESSONS LEARNED – In the during implementation of the | | | | learned |
| N/A | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Initiative: Allowing the Sale of Alcoholic Beverages by Class "A" ABCA Licensures beginning at 10 a.m. on Sundays. | :00 |
|--|-----------|
| Category of issues Addressed (check all that apply) | |
| □ Organization □ Administration □ Personnel ☑ Other | |
| Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🛛 or a plan amendment 🗷 |]? |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? | |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? October 18, 2016 | |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) N/A | |
| SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realize through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance. | zed |
| The owners of restaurants and hotels in the City indicated that there was a market for brunch services on Sundays and that current restrictions on the hours of Sunday alcohol service imposed b State law stifled Sunday morning brunch attendance. The Wheeling Convention and Visitor's Burea and its Board of Directors, which is composed of civic leaders and people involved in the City's hospitality and tourism industries, fully supported the City's use of Home Rule to allow earlier Sunda alcohol service in Wheeling thereby enabling restaurants and hotels in the City to be fully operation on Sunday to enhance the City's image in the eyes of business and leisure travelers and enrich the experiences of visitors to Wheeling. | au lay |
| The Sunday sales in the City has been in effect since October of 2016 and the City has received very positive feedback from the effected businesses and their customers. | , |
| LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learn during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. N/A | ned |

| Initiative: Municipal Business Licensing – Category Reduction | |
|---|----|
| Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application or a plan amendment | C) |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? | |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? Please see below. June, 2009 | |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) N/A | |
| REVENUES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; a any metrics used to track performance. | |
| The Ordinance to streamline the City business license categories from 77 classifications before Home Rule to 7 classifications after Home has been revenue neutral as proposed and intended. The majority of business licenses in the City are a flat fee of \$15.00. See below License Fee I. General Licensing Category \$ 15.00 General Business License \$100.00 Insurance Companies, which by state law are exempt from Business & Occupational Tax. Note: Insurance Agents a classified under the General Business | 1 |
| *****CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE***** | |
| SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improveme programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics u to track performance. | |
| The City Legal Department did contact the State Tax Department and legal counsel for such state entity prior to submitting this proposal and the | |

counsel for such state entity prior to submitting this proposal and the City Finance Department tracked the potential financial impact. Many positive comments continue to be given to the Mayor, City Manager, Wheeling Chamber of Commerce, etc. It is difficult to document the many oral discussions, compliments, etc. This is a very user and business friendly licensing model and virtually no negative comments have arisen.

LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

| Was this tax initia | tive a part of your original plan application \Box or a plan amendment 🗷? |
|--|--|
| Has the ordinanc | e(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? 🗵 Yes 🗖 No |
| If yes, when was | he ordinance enacted? June, 2009 |
| If no, please desc N/A | ribe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) |
| revenue categori | ne space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts an es realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and to track performance. |
| License Fee \$ 250.00 \$ 500.00 \$ 600.00 \$1,250.00 | II. ABCA Related Licensing Category Beer/Wine/Liquor Retailer (ABCA Retailers) Beer/Wine/Liquor Wholesaler (ABCA Wholesaler) Private Clubs, Fraternal Organizations, non-Profit, Social, Other (ABCA Private Clubs) less than 1,000 members Private Clubs, Fraternal Organizations, Non-Profit, Social, Other (ABCA Private Clubs) more than 1,000 Members |
| License Fee \$500.00 | III. Transient Category Itinerant Vendors |
| | |
| | D – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learne tation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. |

| itiative: Imposition of Consumer Sales, Service and Use Tax | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| as this tax initiative a part of your original plan application or a plan amendment ? | |
| as the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? 🗷 Yes 🛛 No | |
| yes, when was the ordinance enacted? Please see below. 2013 and 2014 (Please see below) | |
| no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) | |
| Ϋ́Α | |
| | |
| EVENUES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue set of the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any me sed to track performance. | |
| mend plan to impose a consumer sales, service and use tax in the amount E 0.5 percent enacted: June 18, 2013 | |
| mend ordinance (the City's sales, service and use tax) to increase the tax ate to one percent, and to dedicate the revenue from the additional one-ha ercent to assist in funding its underfunded police and fire pension plan iabilities. Enacted December 1, 2014; June 18, 2013 and December 16, 2014 | lf |
| ee below for narrative. | |
| JCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvem ogramming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics use ack performance. | |
| a result of the implementation of the sales tax, the City lowered B&O Taxes, began enhancing is Budget Stabilization Fund, switched the Police and Fire Pension Funds from the Alternative the Optional Funding Method and it closed both pension funds. As a result of this action, whi as undertaken via Home Rule, the City's Police Pension fund is now 51% funded while the Fire ension Fund is currently 44% funded. Also the City created an infrastructure fund for paving guipment, storm sewers, road slips, etc. In 2019 these funds have created an additional \$1.8 .llion of investment in public infrastructure, necessary repairs to the skating ice at the ar dother improvements. In 2018 these funds created an additional \$1.2 million of investment bblic infrastructure. The City continues to invest in City owned WesBanco Arena. In addition e \$8,350,000 invested in 2017 which included an increased storage area, new locker rooms and eplacement of 27 year old dasher boards, glass and protective netting for professional and yo bekey, the City has invested \$34,000 in 2018 for basketball hoops and \$14,000 in timing devic the City has successfully recruited to WesBanco Arena the Mountain East Conference Men's and meen's Basketball Conference Tournament and the nationally renowned Cancer Research Classic asketball hoops and the timing devices, the WesBanco Arena drew thousands upon thousands of oblars and spectators into the City of Wheeling, WV that would otherwise would not have come ruition. But for Home Rule, the WesBanco Arena in Wheeling, WV would not have been able to etain its minor league hockey franchise, attract to the City a professional indoor football eague in 2019, or additional marquee events to the municipality. | ena in n to uth es. |
| ESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned d | urin |

LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

Initially the City was only going to lower B&O Taxes on Retail and Manufacturing, however some of the largest B&O retail business' customers would not have been subject to sales tax (ex: grocery stores, gas station, pharmaceuticals), so as a result of a detailed analysis, it became clear to lessen the reduction on Retail and spread a reduction across more than just two B&O Classifications.