# MUNICIPAL HOME RULE PROGRAM

## **City of Bridgeport**

2021 PROGRESS REPORT

West Virginia Municipal Home Rule Board P. O. Box 11360 Charleston, WV 25339-1360 <u>MunicipalHomeRule@wv.gov</u> 304.558.3356 West Virginia State Code §8-1-5a (m) provides:

"Commencing December 1, 2015, and each year thereafter, each participating municipality shall give a progress report to the Municipal Home Rule Board and commencing January 1, 2016, and each year thereafter, the Municipal Home Rule Board shall give a summary report of all the participating municipalities to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance."

The Municipal Home Rule Board has developed this standard format for Home Rule Program participating municipalities to prepare and submit their respective Annual Progress Reports. The intent of this standard format is to gather and compile information in a consistent, easily understood, and efficient manner that will be used to develop a concise and practical summary report to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance.

Annual Progress Reports must be submitted electronically as an individual file in PDF format no later than the close of business on the first business day of December, by emailing to WV Municipal Home Rule Board at <u>MunicipalHomeRule@wv.gov</u>.

A. General Information			4)	
Name of Municipality: City of Bridge	eport			
Certifying Official: Randall A. Wetmore		Title: City Manager		
Contact Person: Randall A. Wetmore		Title: City Manager		
Address: PO Box 1310, 515 W Main S	Street			
City, State, Zip: Bridgeport, WV 2633	0			
Telephone Number: 304-842-8225		Fax Number: 304-842-8	3201	
E-Mail Address: rwetmore@bridgepc	ortwv.com			
2010 Census Population: 8,149				
B. Municipal Classification				
Class I Class II	🗷 Class III	Class IV		
C. Attest				
I hereby confirm that I am the authorized official for this municipality and certify that the information submitted herein and attached hereto is true and accurate and that this report addresses each and every initiative included in the original Home Rule Pilot Program Plan Application for this municipality and any subsequent amendments, if applicable.				
Randall A. Wetmore	Rando	ll A. Demas	12/09/2021	
Type Name of Certifying Official	Signature	of Certifying Official	Date	

Initiative: RESTRICTIONS ON MUNICIPAL PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS (WV State Code §8-12-5)		
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application $\Box$ or a plan amendment 🗷 ?		
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?		
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? February 23, 2009		
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).		
<b>SUCCESSES</b> – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.		
This amendment permitted the City of Bridgeport to partner with the Harrison County Board of Education to expand the seating at Bridgeport High School to include new bleachers. <b>2019</b>		
The City of Bridgeport entered into an MOU (Memorandum of Understanding) dated May 22, 2017 with the Harrison County Board of Education agreeing to financially assist them with the enlargement and expansion of the Johnson Elementary School cafeteria and gymnasium once the B&O taxes had been collected on the construction; not to exceed \$500,000. It was determined that the City of Bridgeport would be provided recreational facilities and emergency services during a state of emergency. The agreement is effective September 1, 2019, and the \$500,000 check was issued to the Board of Education on October 16, 2019.		
<b>LESSONS LEARNED</b> – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.		
Teaming up with the Board of Education created a finished project that benefited the entire community. The City has utilized the facility for various uses including Emergency Management Services.		

Initiative: THE CITY IS NOT ALLOWED, UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, TO ANNEX PROPERTY THAT THE CITY IS IN THE BEST POSITION TO PROVIDE PUBLIC SERVICES TO (WV State Code §8-6-1(a))				
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗷 or a plan amendment 🛛 ?				
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?  Yes  No				
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted?				
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).				
In August 2009's quarterly report to the Home Rule Board, Bridgeport stated that in January 2009, the City applied to the Harrison County Commission to annex Meadowbrook Road, which is separated from Bridgeport by a shoestring annexation. The commission permitted the annexation, but then allowed Clarksburg to annex portions of the same property, resulting in a "dual approval of the same territory." Bridgeport then filed a "Writ of Error" against the Harrison County Commission for failing to meet open meeting law requirements when granting Clarksburg's annexation. By June 2010, the Circuit Court of Harrison County reversed the Clarksburg annexation. Bridgeport's annexation is now official, and "public support for our annexation from those interested parties was overwhelmingly positive."				

**SUCCESSES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

Initiative: ADJUST THE 5-G PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR SECURING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (WV State Code §5G-1-3)		
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application $\Box$ or a plan amendment 🗵 ?		
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?		
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? April 13, 2015		
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).		
SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.		
This initiative has allowed the City of Bridgeport to choose firms the Selection Committee has deemed the most qualified for the project while being able to factor cost in determining the winning firm. City staff has saved time, as well as money.		
The Thrasher Group, Inc. was awarded the contract for the Fire Department Renovation Project in the amount of \$62,542 and approved on December 11, 2017; Paid Final of \$25,016.80 February 16, 2017.		
Omni Associates was awarded a contract for Engineering Services for The Indoor Sports and Recreation Complex in the amount of \$2,725,645.		
Omni Associates was awarded the architectural contract for the Library Renovation Project in the amount of \$375,950 dated March 26, 2018.		
2019		
Thrasher Engineering was awarded a contract for NAPA Sewer Upgrade \$325,422.		
CEC, Inc. was awarded the contract as the Engineering firm for the Belasco Mobile Home Park Water Line Upgrade in the amount of \$300,000 dated August 29, 2019.		
Thrasher Engineering was awarded a contract for upgrades to Lift Station 9 Forcemain 8/29/2019 \$443,000.		
The selection of Terradon Corporation as the City of Bridgeport's consultant for full-time professional project inspection of the Indoor Sports and Recreation Complex was approved on September 9, 2019 in the amount of \$416,500.		
LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during		
implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.		
The City feels as though this process has saved thousands of dollars by creating a competitive process with our Architectural /Engineering firms. However, those municipalities wanting to duplicate this process should consider the expertise of staff who are qualified to develop a project scope that creates a level "playing field" for firms who have submitted proposals. The City of Bridgeport has a PE on staff to help with this process.		
Page 5 of 14		

#### CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE

Please use this page to report progress on each **non-tax related initiative** included in your Home Rule Application and Amendment(s). Each non-tax related initiative must be listed on a separate page.

Initiative: ADJUST THE 5-G PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR SECURING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (WV State Code §5G-1-3)
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗆 or a plan amendment 🗷 ?
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? April 13, 2015
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).
SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.
LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.
The City feels as though this process has saved thousands of dollars by creating a competitive process with our Architectural /Engineering firms. However, those municipalities wanting to duplicate this process should consider the expertise of staff who are qualified to develop a project scope that creates a level "playing field" for firms

who have submitted proposals. The City of Bridgeport has a PE on staff to help with this process.

Page 6 of 14

### Initiative: MODIFYING CERTAIN CIVIL SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIFIC POSITIONS WITHIN THE POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS (WV State Code §8-15-22, §8-15-16, and §8-14-11)

Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application  $\Box$  or a plan amendment  $\blacksquare$ ?

Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?

If yes, when was the ordinance enacted?

If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).

The City of Bridgeport is still investigating different ways to implement this initiative.

**SUCCESSES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

**LESSONS LEARNED** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

× No

### Initiative: ENTER INTO INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS APPROVED BY RESOLUTION OF GOVERNING BODY WITHOUT APPROVAL BY ATTORNEY GENERAL AND AUTHORIZE EXERCISE OR ENGAGING IN ANY POWER OR PRIVILEGE OR UNDERTAKING WHICH EITHER PARTY MAY UNDERTAKE, ENJOY, OR ENGAGE IN (WV State Code §8-23-3 and §8-11-3)

Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application  $\Box$  or a plan amendment  $\blacksquare$ ?

Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?

If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? March 23, 2015

If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).

**SUCCESSES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

To expedite projects between municipalities.

**LESSONS LEARNED** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

The Attorney General's Office takes a while to review and sign off on projects. This streamlines the process and allows governing bodies to expedite projects.

### Initiative: THE CITY OF BRIDGEPORT WILL PROVIDE RATES AND CHARGES FOR FIRE FEES FOR PROPERTIES LOCATED OUTSIDE OF THE CORPRATE LIMITS OF THE CITY BUT WITHIN THE CITY'S FIRST DUE AREA (WV State Code §8-13-13)

Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application  $\Box$  or a plan amendment  $\blacksquare$ ?

Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?

If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? July 11, 2011

If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).

**SUCCESSES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

Bridgeport's quarterly update of June 2011 noted that Harrison County Commission filed a lawsuit against this proposal, requesting instead that the non-city portion of Bridgeport's first-due fire service area be reapportioned to surrounding volunteer fire stations, which would remove the need for Bridgeport to establish fire service fees in that area. Bridgeport further indicated that it agreed to the request and would end services to this area, but that it would reverse this action if the court rules in the City's favor.

Bridgeport's quarterly update of September 2011 then noted that Bridgeport will begin charging fire service fees, regardless of the lawsuit. The City stated that it can implement a fire service fee for the non-city portion without the Home Rule Board and used Chapter 8-13-13. Since then, the City of Bridgeport was successful in the Supreme Court.

Case #13-0378

Court Ruling Date: 02-14-2014

### Initiative: AMENDING ARTICLE 521 PART FIVE OF THE CODIFIED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF BRIDGEPORT CODE TO ALLOW FOR SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES AFTER 10AM

Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application  $\Box$  or a plan amendment  $\blacksquare$ ?

Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? If Yes INO

If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? October 24, 2016

If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).

**SUCCESSES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

This initiative allows our businesses that sell alcohol in their establishments to begin selling to patrons after 10AM on Sundays. The City wanted to become competitive with larger cities across the United States that already allow this on Sundays. It has proven to be successful and popular as "Brunch" is becoming more and more popular.

Initiative: TO PERMIT LOCAL CERTIFICATION AND SUPERVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS AND INSPECTORS.		
Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗆 or a plan amendment 🗵?		
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?		
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? May 9, 2016		
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).		
<b>SUCCESSES</b> – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative which highlights successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance.		
It streamlined the process and allowed new hires to start work immediately. It keeps us in compliance with the ICC and state procedures.		
LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. This didn't change the way we do things in Bridgeport. The only thing this allows is for new hires to start work immediately instead of having to wait up to two years to obtain necessary certifications.		

Initiative: REQUIREMENT THAT THE MUNICIPALITY CHARGE LICENSE TAXES NOT TO EXCEED ANTIQUATED AMOUNTS PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED BY THE STATE (WV State Code §8-13-4)

Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗷 or a plan amendment 🗌 or N/A 🗌

Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?

If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? March 9, 2009

If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).

**REVENUES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any metrics used to track performance.

The change in methodology and streamlining of the City of Bridgeport's Business License requirements has been revenue neutral as intended.

**SUCCESSES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

As stated above, this initiative has been revenue neutral and, therefore; there are no additional funds available as a result of this change. The most significant advantage or benefit from this initiative is the simplification of the licensing process not only for city staff, but also for the business owners within our city limits.

**LESSONS LEARNED** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

Overall, the City of Bridgeport's business owners have been more compliant and less critical as a result of streamlining the license fee for all businesses.

Initiative: THE CITY OF BRIDGEPORT CANNOT ISSUE ITS OWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING BECAUSE IT IS A CLASS III CITY (WV State Code - Section 4, Article 11B of Chapter 7)			
Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application 🗷 or a plan amendment 🗆 or N/A 🗆			
Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?			
If yes, when was the ordinance enacted?			
If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).			
The State amended their legislative rules to allow Class III cities to create TIF's.			
<b>REVENUES</b> – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any metrics used to track performance.			
SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance.			

Initiative: IMPOSE MUNICIPAL SALES AND SERVICE TAX AND MUNICIPAL USE TAX IN ACCORDANCE WITH WV State Code §8-1-5a (i) (14)

Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application 
or a plan amendment 
or N/A

Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted?

If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? July 1, 2016

If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s).

**REVENUES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any metrics used to track performance.

The City has realized approximately \$3,000,000 in revenue from the sales tax increase. We choose to eliminate Manufacturing B&O. The City has dedicated the revenue from the sales tax to construct, maintain and operate our Indoor Sports & Recreation Complex. All monies have been earmarked for this project.

2019

The City of Bridgeport used sales tax as collateral to borrow \$40,371,000 to construct the Indoor Sports and Recreation Complex.

**SUCCESSES** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance.

It is the City of Bridgeport's intent to use the tax to construct an Indoor Sports and Recreation Complex.

2019

The Indoor Sports and Recreation Complex is under construction with anticipation of opening in 2021.

**LESSONS LEARNED** – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities.

To date, this has been a smooth transition and a successful initiative.

Page 14 of 14