MUNICIPAL HOME RULE PILOT PROGRAM

City of Bridgeport

2017 PROGRESS REPORT West Virginia State Code §8-1-5a (m) provides:

"Commencing December 1, 2015, and each year thereafter, each participating municipality shall give a progress report to the Municipal Home Rule Board and commencing January 1, 2016, and each year thereafter, the Municipal Home Rule Board shall give a summary report of all the participating municipalities to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance."

The Municipal Home Rule Board has developed this standard format for Pilot Program participating municipalities to prepare and submit their respective Annual Progress Reports. The intent of this standard format is to gather and compile information in a consistent, easily understood, and efficient manner that will be used to develop a concise and practical summary report to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance.

Annual Progress Reports must be submitted electronically as an individual file in PDF format no later than the close of business on December 1, 2017 by emailing Courtney Shamblin at courtney.d.shamblin@wv.gov, West Virginia Development Office, West Virginia Home Rule Pilot Program, State Capitol Complex, Building 1, Room W-300, Charleston, West Virginia 25305, 304-558-3356.

| A. General Information | |
|---|--|
| Name of Municipality: City of Bridgeport | |
| Certifying Official: A. Kim Haws | Title: City Manager |
| Contact Person: A. Kim Haws | Title: City Manager |
| Address: 515 W. Main Street, PO Box 1310, Bridg | eport, WV 26330 |
| City, State, Zip: Bridgeport, WV 26330 | |
| Telephone Number: 304-842-8233 | Fax Number: 304-842-8201 |
| E-Mail Address: akerr@bridgeportwv.com or khaws | 2bridgeportwv.com |
| 2010 Census Population: 8,149 | |
| B. Municipal Classification | |
| ☐ Class I ☐ Class II X Class II | ☐ Class IV |
| C. Pilot Program Entry Phase | |
| X Phase I (2007 Legislation) | 014 Legislation) |
| D. Attest | |
| submitted herein and attached hereto is true an | for this municipality and certify that the information and accurate and that this report addresses each and the Pilot Program Plan Application for this municipality |
| Type Name of Certifying Official Signatur | e of Certifying Official Date |

| Initiative: RESTRICT | IONS ON MUNICIPAL PARTI | CIPATION IN PUB | LIC SCHOOLS (WV State |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| Category of Issues Addr | essed (check all that apply) | | |
| ☐ Organization | X Administration | ☐ Personnel | □ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiati | ve a part of your original plan a | pplication- | a plan amendment X? |
| Has the ordinance(s) ne | eded to implement this initiativ | e been enacted? | X Yes □ No |
| If yes, when was the ord | dinance enacted? February 23, 2 | 2009 | |
| If no, please describe ch | nallenges faced in enacting the r | elated ordinance(s) | |
| | pace below, please provide a lation of this initiative and any m | _ | |
| | Bridgeport to partner with the thing the school to include new blook to the school to include new blook the school the sc | • | ard of Education to expand |
| during implementation Teaming up with the | the space below, please provid of this revenue initiative that we Board of Education created a las utilized the facility for var | ould benefit other m a finished project t | unicipalities. |

| Initiative: THE CITY IS NOT THAT THE CITY IS IN THE BI | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| Category of Issues Addressed | l (check all that apply) | 30 72 | |
| ☐ Organization | X Administration | ☐ Personnel | ☐ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiative a | part of your original plan app | olication – <mark>X Yes</mark> | |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed | to implement this initiative | been enacted? | □ Yes X No |
| If yes, when was the ordinan | ce enacted? | | |
| If no, please describe challen | ges faced in enacting the rel | ated ordinance(s) | |
| In August 2009 quarterly report to the Home Rule Board, Bridgeport stated that in January 2009, the city applied to the Harrison County Commission to annex Meadowbrook Road, which is separated from Bridgeport by a shoestring annexation. The commission permitted the annexation, but then allowed Clarksburg to annex portions of the same property, resulting in a "dual approval of the same territory." Bridgeport then filed a "Writ of Error" against the Harrison County Commission for failing to meet open meeting law requirements when granting Clarksburg's annexation. By June 2010, the Circuit Court of Harrison County reversed the Clarksburg annexation. Bridgeport's annexation is now official, and "public support for our annexation from those interested parties was overwhelmingly positive." | | | |
| SUCCESSES — In the space I through the implementation | • | | _ |
| LESSONS LEARNED — In the s during implementation of this | | _ | |

| Initiative: ADJUST THE 5-G PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR SECURING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (WV State Code §5G-1-3) |
|---|
| Category of Issues Addressed (check all that apply) |
| ☐ Organization X Administration ☐ Personnel ☐ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application a plan amendment –X? |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? X Yes |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? April 13, 2015 |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) |
| SUCCESSES — In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance. |
| This initiative has allowed the City of Bridgeport to select the firms the Selection Committee has deemed the most qualified for the project while being able to factor cost in determining the winning firm. City staff has saved time as well as money. |
| LESSONS LEARNED — In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. |
| The City feels as though this process has saved thousands of dollars by creating a competitive process with our Architectural/Engineering firms. However, those municipalities wanting to duplicate this process should consider the expertise of staff who are qualified to develop a project scope that creates a level "playing field" for firms who have submitted proposals. The City of Bridgeport has a PE on staff to help with this process. |

| Initiative: MODIFYING CERTAIN CIVIL SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIFIC POSITIONS WITHIN THE POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS (WV State Code §8-15-22, §8-15-16, and §8-14-11) | | |
|---|--|--|
| Category of Issues Addressed (check all that apply) | | |
| ☐ Organization X Administration X Personnel ☐ Other | | |
| Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original plan application a plan amendment X? | | |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? | | |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? | | |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) | | |
| The City of Bridgeport is still investigating different ways to implement this initiative. | | |
| SUCCESSES — In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting successes realized through the implementation of this initiative and any metrics used to track performance. | | |
| LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. | | |

| GOVERNING BODY WIT ENGAGING IN ANY P | O INTERGOVERNMENTAL A HOUT APPROVAL BY ATTOI OWER OR PRIVILEGE OR ENGAGE IN (WV State Code | RNEY GENERAL AND AU UNDERTAKING WHICH | THORIZE EXERCISE OR |
|--|---|---|---------------------|
| Category of Issues Addre | ssed (check all that apply) | | |
| ☐ Organization | X Administration | ☐ Personnel | □ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiativ | e a part of your original plan | application a plan | amendment X? |
| Has the ordinance(s) nee | ded to implement this initiati | ive been enacted? X | Yes |
| If yes, when was the ord | nance enacted? March 23, 20 | 015 | |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) | | | |
| through the implementa To expedite projects bet | | metrics used to track per | formance. |
| during implementation of the Attorney General's | he space below, please provif this revenue initiative that we office takes a while to review raing bodies to expedite proje | vould benefit other muni v and sign off on project | cipalities. |

| Initiative: THE CITY OF BRIDGEPORT WILL PROPROPERTIES LOCATED OUTSIDE OF THE CORPORTIEST DUE AREA (WV State Code §8-13-13). | |
|--|---|
| Category of Issues Addressed (check all that apply) | |
| ☐ Organization X Administration | ☐ Personnel ☐ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiative a part of your original pla | an application a plan amendment X? |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this init | iative been enacted? X Yes |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? July 11, 20 | 011 |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting t | he related ordinance(s) |
| SUCCESSES — In the space below, please provide through the implementation of this initiative and ar | |
| Bridgeport's quarterly update of June 2011 noted against this proposal, requesting instead that the note area be reapportioned to surrounding volunteer Bridgeport to establish fire service fees in that area request and would end services to this area, but the City's favor. Bridgeport's quarterly update of September 2011 to service fees, regardless of the lawsuit. The city state non-city portion without the Home Rule Board at Bridgeport was successful in the Supreme Court. Case #13-0378 Court Ruling Date: 2-14-14 | on-city portion of Bridgeport's first-due fire service fire stations, which would remove the need for a. Bridgeport further indicated that it agreed to the nat it would reverse this action if the court rules in then noted that Bridgeport will begin charging fire ted that it can implement a fire service fee for the |
| LESSONS LEARNED — In the space below, please produring implementation of this revenue initiative that | |

| | ARTICLE 521 PART FIVE OF TALLOW FOR SUNDAY ALCOHOL | | NCES OF THE CITY OF |
|--|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Category of Issues Addr | essed (check all that apply) | | |
| ☐ Organization | X Administration | ☐ Personnel | ☐ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiati | ve a part of your original plan a | oplication a plan a | amendment X? |
| Has the ordinance(s) ne | eded to implement this initiativ | e been enacted? X | <mark>/es</mark> |
| If yes, when was the ord | linance enacted? October 24, 20 | 016 | **** |
| If no, please describe ch | allenges faced in enacting the r | elated ordinance(s) | |
| | ace below, please provide a bation of this initiative and any m | | ~ |
| This was just recently p time of document prepa | eassed on October 24, 2016 and aration). | d has only been availab | le for two Sundays (at |
| | the space below, please provident of this revenue initiative that we | _ | |

| Initiative: TO PERMIT LOCAL CERTIFICATION AND SUPERVISION OF CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS AND INSPECTORS. | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Category of Issues Address | ed (check all that apply) | | |
| ☐ Organization | X Administration | ☐ Personnel | □ Other |
| Was this non-tax initiative | a part of your original plan a | pplication a plan a | mendment X? |
| Has the ordinance(s) neede | ed to implement this initiativ | e been enacted? X Y | es |
| If yes, when was the ordina | nce enacted? May 9, 2016 | | 77. |
| If no, please describe challe | enges faced in enacting the r | elated ordinance(s) | |
| | below, please provide a lin of this initiative and any m | | _ |
| with the ICC and state prod | | | |
| during implementation of the This didn't change the way | space below, please provid his revenue initiative that we we do things in Bridgeport read of having to wait up to t | ould benefit other munici . The only thing this allow | palities. ws is for new hires to |
| | | | |

| ANTIQUATED AMOUNTS PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED BY THE STATE (WV State Code §8-13-4) |
|---|
| Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application – X Yes |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? X Yes |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? March 9, 2009 |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) |
| REVENUES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any metrics used to track performance. |
| The change in methodology and streamlining of the City of Bridgeport's Business License requirements has been revenue neutral as intended. |
| SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance. |
| As stated above, this initiative has been revenue neutral and therefore there are no additional funds available as a result of this change. The most significant advantage or benefit from this initiative is the simplification of the licensing process not only for city staff, but also for the business owners within our city limits. |
| LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. |
| Overall, the City of Bridgeport's business owners have been more compliant and less critical as a result of streamlining the license fee for all businesses. |

| Initiative: THE CITY OF BRIDGEPORT CANNOT ISSUE ITS OWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING BECAUSE IT IS A CLASS III CITY (WV State Code-Section 4, Article 11B of Chapter 7) |
|---|
| Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application- X Yes |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? X No |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s) |
| The State amended their legislative rules to allow Class III cities to create TIFs. |
| |
| REVENUES — In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any metrics used to track performance. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| N- |
| SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| LESSONS LEARNED — In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. |
| |

| Initiative: IMPOSE MUNICIPAL SALES AND SERVICE TAX AND MUNICIPAL USE TAX IN ACCORDANCE WITH WEST VIRGINIA CODE §8-1-5A(i)(14) |
|--|
| Was this tax initiative a part of your original plan application a plan amendment X? |
| Has the ordinance(s) needed to implement this initiative been enacted? X YES |
| If yes, when was the ordinance enacted? July 1, 2016 |
| If no, please describe challenges faced in enacting the related ordinance(s): |
| |
| REVENUES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting revenue amounts and revenue categories realized; revenue amounts and revenue categories reduced; net revenue gain; and, any metrics used to track performance. |
| Although very speculative, it is anticipated that the City will realize approximately \$3 million annually once this ordinance is in effect. The City just received its first quarter statement back from the state and has collected approximately \$550,000. |
| |
| İ |
| |
| |
| SUCCESSES – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting projects, improvements, programming, etc. realized through the implementation of this revenue initiative and any metrics used to track performance. |
| It is the City of Bridgeport's intent to use the tax to construct a Sports Recreation Complex. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| LESSONS LEARNED – In the space below, please provide a brief narrative highlighting lessons learned |
| during implementation of this revenue initiative that would benefit other municipalities. |
| Pending as we are still collecting data and are still in the early stages of the implementation. |
| |